

---

## **Annex Z – CDT POST-EVENT SURVEY**

**Note:** This Annex appears in its original format.



## Annex Z – CDT POST-EVENT SURVEY

### QUICK SURVEY

This CDT arose from work by scores of individuals, including months of testing and simulations starting in 2017. We would like your response to the following three questions on whether you thought the effort was successful. Please check the appropriate box below and feel free to add comments. (69 surveys received.)

- 1) Did you have an understanding of NG-NRMM technologies based on the presentations?

Yes 67  Maybe 2  No 0

Comments

- Very Clear what technologies are being used for NG-NRMM.

- 2) Were the virtual and physical demonstrations experienced and understood?

Yes 68  Maybe 1  No 0

Comments:

- Very clear which events were run and how the vendors replicated the tests.
- Based on committee involvement more than the presentations.

- 3) Did the presentations and demonstrations convince you of the worth of NG-NRMM?

Yes 47  Maybe 19  No 3

Comments:

- Maydas' presentation was more helpful than the V&V presentation.
- The presentations and demonstrations were rather disconnected. Smaller groups, more 1 on 1 (smaller student/teacher ratio) and immediate reinforcement by physical demonstration would be better.
- Depends on metrics of success.
- Need to address the criteria of %GO, %No-Go. How Next Gen NRMM calculate these numbers. Need to give an example of the comparison between NRMM & NG\_NRMM to show where the %Go, %No-Go difference comes from.
- Limitations
  - Handling snow/ice/frozen ground
  - Handling vegetation in soft soil
  - How is this NG-NRMM going to be used in the acquisition process

## ANNEX Z –CDT POST-EVENT SURVEY

---

- Seems like this CDT is not ready for STANREC.
- -Congratulations KRC.
- -Well organized and perfect execution.
- -Thanks for everything.
- -KRC was amazing



Figure Z-1: CDT-308 Attendees Group Photo.